

This is supposed to be the last session.

Affine Lie algebras: $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (We call an ordinary finite Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .)

Last time we had $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{loop}}$: $T_m^a, T^a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

$$\Rightarrow [T_m^a, T_n^b] = f^{ab}{}_c T_{m+n}^c, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Add a central extension. That's possible since it is allowed by the Jacobi identity.

$K \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{cases} [T_m^a, T_n^b] = f^{ab}{}_c T_{m+n}^c + m\delta_{m+n,0} \kappa^{ab} K \\ [K, T_m^a] = 0 \end{cases}$$

Cartan–Weyl basis. $H^i \in \text{CSA}(\mathfrak{g})$

$$[H_m^i, H_n^j] = k m \delta^{ij} \delta_{m+n,0}$$

where k is the eigenvalue of K .

Note: Only $H_0^i \in \text{CSA}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Roots:

$$[H_m^i, E_n^\alpha] = \alpha^i E_{m+n}^\alpha$$

$$[E_m^\alpha, E_n^\beta] = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{(\alpha,\beta)} E_{m+n}^{\alpha+\beta} & \Rightarrow E_{m+n}^{\alpha+\beta} \text{ is a root} \\ \alpha^i H_{m+n}^i + k m \delta_{m+n,0} & (\alpha = -\beta) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[K, H_m^i] = [K, E_m^\alpha] = 0$$

Often one imposes hermiticity: $(E_m^\alpha)^\dagger = E_{-m}^{-\alpha}$ etc, and $K^\dagger = K$.

Let's try to find the $\text{CSA}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$: H_0^i, K . Enough? (Are these a maximal Cartan subalgebra?)

Roots

$$\begin{aligned} [H_0^i, E_n^\alpha] &= \alpha^i E_n^\alpha \\ [K, E_n^\alpha] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Root of E_m^α : $(\alpha^i, 0)$, which is infinitely degenerated (due to the m index).

But also: $[H_0^i, H_n^j] = [K, H_n^j] = 0, n \neq 0. \Rightarrow \text{Root}(H_n^i) = (0, 0)?$

$\Rightarrow H_0^i, K$ is not maximal!

Cure: Add one more generator D :

$$\begin{aligned} [D, E_m^\alpha] &= m E_m^\alpha \\ [D, H_m^i] &= m H_m^i \\ [D, K] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In fact, when combining the affine and Virasoro algebras (Sugawara construction) then $D = -L_0$.

Now things are better: $\text{CSA}: (H_0^i, K, D)$.

Roots:

$$\begin{aligned} E_m^\alpha: & \quad a = (\alpha^i, 0, m) \\ H_{m \neq 0}^i: & \quad a = (0, 0, m) \\ & \quad \uparrow \\ & \quad \text{always} \\ & \quad \text{zero} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{fig} \rangle$

What is the Killing form $\hat{\kappa}^{AB}$. $A = ({}^a_m, k, d)$

Use the Killing form invariance:

$$\hat{\kappa}(x, y): \quad \hat{\kappa}([z, x], y) + \hat{\kappa}(x, [z, y]) = 0, \quad x, y \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$$

1). $z = D, x = T_m^a, y = T_m^b$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\kappa}(T_m^a, T_m^b) \sim \delta_{m+n,0}$$

2) with $z = T_0^c$ instead

$$\hat{\kappa}(T_m^a, T_n^b) = \hat{\kappa}^{ab} \delta_{m+n,0}$$

$\hat{\kappa}^{AB}(\widehat{\text{CSA}})$ is rank $r + 2$ with signature $(r + 1, 1)$.

$$\hat{\kappa}^{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc} \dots & & 0 \\ \hline & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{matrix} K \\ D \end{matrix}$$

So: affine KM algebras have Lorentzian signature in the CSA.

Positive roots

1) $(\alpha, 0, n), n > 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$

2) $(\alpha, 0, 0), \alpha > 0$ in \mathfrak{g} .

Simple roots:

1) α_{simple} in $\mathfrak{g}: (\alpha_{\text{simple}}, 0, 0)$.

2) $(-\theta, 0, 1)$

EXAMPLE: $A_2^{(1)}, \widehat{\text{SU}}_k(3)$. k : eigenvalue of K called level.

$$A_2: \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{SU}(3))$$

$A_2^{(1)}$: simple roots:

$$\begin{cases} a^{(1)} = (\alpha^{(1)}, 0, 0) \\ a^{(2)} = (\alpha^{(2)}, 0, 0) \\ a^{(0)} = (-\theta, 0, 1) \end{cases}$$

Affine Cartan matrix is 3-dimensional (not $2 + 1 + 1$ dimensional).

Metric to use

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa^{ab} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V = (v, v_k, v_d),$$

$$W = (w, w_k, w_d)$$

$$\Rightarrow V \cdot W = v \cdot w + v_k v_d + v_d w_k$$

$$a^{(1)} \cdot a^{(1)} = a^{(2)} \cdot a^{(2)} = 2$$

$$a^{(0)} \cdot a^{(0)} = (-\theta) \cdot (-\theta) = 2$$

$$a^{(1)} \cdot a^{(2)} = -1$$

$$a^{(0)} \cdot a^{(1)} = (-\theta) \cdot \alpha^{(1)} = -\alpha^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^{(2)} = -2 - (-1) = -1.$$

$$A_2^{(1)}: \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det A = 0$$

Check!

Problem $\mathfrak{sp}(2; \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic as a real Lie algebra to another one. Which one? Prove it.

$$\mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}) \sim C_2$$

Could be isomorphic to B_2 . Same Dynkin diagram. $B_2 \approx \text{SO}(5)$, $\dim \frac{5 \times 4}{2} = 10$.

$\mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$: 4×4 real matrices M that leave invariant the symplectic form J

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}: \quad MJ + JM^T = 0$$

$$MJ = \begin{pmatrix} -B & A \\ -D & C \end{pmatrix}$$

$$JM^T = \begin{pmatrix} B^T & D^T \\ -A^T & -C^T \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow B = B^T, \quad C = C^T, \quad D = -A^T, \quad A = -D^T$$

A is independent 2×2 , $\dim = 4$. $B: 3$, $C: 3$. Sum = 10.

So C_2 is isomorphic to D_2 as complex.

Now, real case: C_2 : $\mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

B_2 can be $\text{SO}(5)$, $\text{SO}(4, 1)$, $\text{SO}(3, 2)$. $\text{SO}(5)$ is compact, but $\mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is not. $\text{SO}(4, 1)$ and $\text{SO}(3, 2)$ are left.

Find an explicit representation of these two Lie algebras that is “the same” as the fundamental representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R})$. It must be a spinor representation.

From spinor representation in any even dimension d one can easily construct spinor representation in $d+1$.

SO(4): $\{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} = 2\delta^{ij}$. $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

SO(5): $\{\gamma^I, \gamma^J\} = 2\delta^{IJ}$, $I, J = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Generators $\gamma^{[I}\gamma^{J]} \equiv \gamma^{IJ}$.

SO(4): $\gamma^5 = \gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^4 \Rightarrow \gamma^I = (\gamma^i, \gamma^5)$. $(\gamma^5)^2 = 1$.

SO(1,3): Dirac spinors: 4-component, complex. Can divide into Weyl and anti-Weyl. You can also divide into Majorana spinors: 4-component, real.

Is it consistent to have Weyl and Majorana at the same time? Not possible for SO(1,3).

Use the Majorana representation for SO(1,3) to construct a real spinor representation in 5 dimensions. γ^μ : Majorana, real. $\gamma^5 = \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 \Rightarrow (\gamma^5)^2 = -1$. \Rightarrow SO(1,3) Majorana \Rightarrow SO(2,3).

σ^1, σ^2 real, σ^3 imaginary, $i\sigma^3 = \varepsilon$ real.

$$\begin{cases} \sigma^\mu = (\mathbb{1}, \sigma^i) \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (-\mathbb{1}, \sigma^i) \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbb{1}, \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^i)$$

Unitarity

In physics the symmetry is often (in quantum mechanics) realized in a unitary fashion. If the Lie algebra in question is compact (as QM, not QFT), all finite dimensional representations are unitarizable. But in QFT one often has non-compact groups (like the Lorentz group) then all unitary representations are infinite-dimensional.

Consider SU(2) and SU(1,1) \approx SO(2,1)

Use a harmonic oscillator realization:

$$[a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

SU(2): we have J_\pm and J_3 .

$$[J_3, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm, \quad [J_+, J_-] = 2J_3$$

Put $J_3 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2)$, $J_+ = a_1^\dagger a_2$, $J_- = a_2^\dagger a_1$.

Note: $J_3^\dagger = J_3$, $J_\pm^\dagger = J_\mp$. Compact: all three generators. By this we mean iJ_3 .

SU(1,1) $K_3 = J_3$. $K_+ = i a_1^\dagger a_2$. $K_- = i a_2^\dagger a_1$.

SU(2).

$$a_i^\dagger |n_i\rangle = \sqrt{n_i + 1} |n_i + 1\rangle$$

$$|n_i\rangle \sim (a_i^\dagger)^n |0\rangle, \quad a_i |0\rangle = 0$$

$$a_i |n_i\rangle = \sqrt{n_i} |n_i - 1\rangle$$

$$|n_1, n_2\rangle = |n_1\rangle \otimes |n_2\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow J_3 |n_1, n_2\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - n_2) |n_1, n_2\rangle$$

Note:

$$N \equiv \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2) \Rightarrow N|n_1, n_2\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2)|n_1, n_2\rangle$$

Single-valuedness: $e^{i\phi J_3} = e^{i(\phi+4\pi)J_3}$.

$$n_1 - n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$J_3 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$$

The eigenvalue of J_3 is called m .

$\langle \text{fig} \rangle$

Unitarity

$$\langle n_1 + 1, n_2 + 1 | J_+ | n_1, n_2 \rangle = \sqrt{(n_1 + 1)n_2}$$

Compare to J_-

$$\langle n_1, n_2 | J_- | n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1 \rangle = \sqrt{(n_1 + 1)n_2}$$

Hermitian conjugate on the J_+ expression gives you J_- using $(J_+)^\dagger = J_-$.

Generalization Let n_1, n_2 be also both negative.

$$\langle n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1 | K_+ | n_1, n_2 \rangle = i\sqrt{(n_1 + 1)n_2}$$

$(K_+)^\dagger = K_- \Rightarrow \sqrt{-(n_1 + 1)n_2}$ must be real. One n is negative, and one is positive.

$\langle \text{fig} \rangle$.

Discrete series $\mathcal{D}_+^j, \mathcal{D}_-^j$. Principle representation $\mathcal{D}^p, j + \frac{1}{2} = p: -\frac{1}{2} \leq p \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Doesn't stop in either direction.

Complementary: $j + \frac{1}{2} = i\beta$.