Project: Thursday 28 May 9–17 and Friday 29 May 9–12. Password for course evaluation: "susyQ".

Today we start with supersymmetry.

But first Higgs. We have an experimental limit: $m_h > 114 \,\text{GeV}$. At Lep, an e^+e^- collider



Figure 1. Higgsstrahlung. The lower process does not occur.

Vacuum expectation value v.

$$\label{eq:started} \begin{split} m &\propto v \to v + h \\ m \bar{\psi} \psi \to m \bar{\psi} \psi + \frac{m}{v} \, \bar{\psi} \psi \, h \end{split}$$

This makes the e^-e^+h vertex vanishingly small.



Figure 2. Lep excludes masses lower than 114 GeV with 95% confidence level. The Tevatron takes a higher

The first process you expect is Higgsstrahlung. The second is vector boson fusion.



Figure 3. Two reactions in one graph. Either the W^{\pm} and the $\nu \bar{\nu}$, or the Z and e^-e^+ .

Why can we predict the masses of Z, W^{\pm} , but not the Higgs?

$$\begin{split} V &= -\mu^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda \left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi \right)^2, \quad \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v+h \end{pmatrix} \\ V &= -\frac{\mu^2}{2} (v+h)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (v+h)^4 = \phi_0 + \underbrace{c_1 h}_{(\min)} + c_2 h^2 + c_3 h^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4} h^4 \\ v &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}} \\ c_1 &= -\frac{\mu^2}{2} \cdot 2v h + \frac{\lambda}{4} 4v^2 h = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}} \\ c_2 &= +\mu^2 = \frac{1}{2} m_h^2 \end{split}$$

Nobody knows what the value of λ is. Every other mass is proportional to v, but $v = \sqrt{\mu^2/\lambda}$. Hadron collider



Figure 4. Higgsstrahlung from a top.



Figure 5. Contribution from a loop.



This is for the production. To actually see the Higgs, we need to see it decay. The decay depends on the mass.

Figure 6.

Supersymmetry

Naturalness problem: In the standard model the Higgs mass gets renormalised.

$$\frac{\mathrm{i}}{p^2-m_h^2}$$

If this is the propagator of the Higgs, the Higgs mass is the pole of the propagator.

$$(\partial_{\mu}h)^2 - m_h^2 h^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}h^4$$
$$(p^2 - m_\eta^2)\tilde{h} = 1$$



Figure 7.

$$\langle {\rm fig7}\rangle \sim \lambda \int\limits_{0 < |p| < \Lambda} \frac{{\rm d}^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \cdot \frac{1}{p^2 - m_h^2 + {\rm i}\varepsilon} \sim \lambda \Lambda^2$$

Cut-off $\Lambda.$



Figure 8. This diagram is divergent like a log. A much milder divergence.

In supersymmetry, it was realised, there are no quadratic divergences.



Figure 9. Quadratic divergences are handled in supersymmetry by a fermion loop and a scalar loop having opposite contributions.



Figure 10. Each sector will have its own sypersymmetric partner: The Higgs has the higgsino, the gluons have gluinos, \dots

Supersymmetry: 3 reasons:

- 1) m_h light.
- 2) Helps in grand unified theory:



Figure 11. $1/\alpha$ versus E.

Supersymmetry makes the forces unify at one point.

3) Dark matter.

You have to introduce *R*-parity. A multiplicative quantum number = +1 on the matter, and for the superpartners -1. If *R*-parity is conserved: a) superpartners can only be produced in

pairs, and b) the lightest one will be stable (can of course annihilate).



Figure 12.

Notation

$$\gamma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\mu} \\ 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\sigma}_{\mu} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sigma_0 = \bar{\sigma}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = -\bar{\sigma}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = -\bar{\sigma}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \bar{\sigma}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 γ^{μ} is written in the Weyl matrix.

$$i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)$$

$$P_L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Dirac spinor

$$\Psi = \left(\begin{array}{c} \xi \\ \chi^{\dagger} \end{array}\right)$$

 $\xi, \chi^\dagger:$ two component Weyl spinor.

$$P_L \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \xi$$
 which is an abuse of notation...
 $P_R \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = \chi^{\dagger}$

 ξ_{α} with $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $\chi^{\dagger \dot{\alpha}}$ with $\dot{\alpha} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}] &= J^{\mu\nu} = 4 \times 4 \text{ matrix} \\ [L^{i}, L^{j}] = \mathrm{i} \, \varepsilon^{ijk} L^{k} \\ M^{ij} &= \varepsilon^{ijk} L^{k} = -M^{ji} \\ \rightarrow & [M^{ij}, M^{lm}] = (\delta\delta - \delta\delta)M \\ [J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\rho\tau}] &= (\eta\eta...)J \\ \{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} &= 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \\ J^{\mu\nu} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{[\mu} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu]} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^{[\mu} \sigma^{\nu]} \end{pmatrix} \\ & [J^{\mu\nu}, \gamma^{5}] = 0 \\ & (\xi^{\alpha})^{*} = \xi^{\dagger \dot{\alpha}} \\ \bar{\psi} &= \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^{\dagger}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \xi^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^{\alpha}, \xi^{\dagger}_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Dirac mass

$$m\bar{\psi}\psi = m\left(\chi^{\alpha},\xi^{\dagger}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right)\left(\xi^{\alpha}_{\alpha}\right) = m\left(\chi^{\alpha}\xi_{\alpha} + \xi^{\dagger}_{\dot{\alpha}}\chi^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}}\right)$$



Figure 13.

Wess–Bagger is the bible of supersymmetry notation.

$$= m \left(\chi \xi + \xi^{\dagger} \chi^{\dagger} \right)$$

How to raise and lower indices? V^{μ} , W^{μ} : $\eta_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu}W^{\nu}$. Short hand: $W_{\mu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu}$, so $V \cdot W = V^{\mu}W_{\mu}$. I am allowed to do this because there is an object η that is invariant under Lorentz transformations. The existence of an invariant tensor is required to make a scalar of two vectors.

Is there an invariant tensor for the Weyl representation? Yes.

You usually think of a Lorentz transformation as a matrix $\Lambda^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$. This is not the Lorentz transformation. It is the rule for transforming vectors.

$$T_{\mu\nu} \to \Lambda^{\rho}{}_{\mu} \Lambda^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} T_{\rho\lambda}$$

Define a multi-index I = 1, ..., 16 corresponding to combinations of (μ, ν) from (0, 0) to (3, 3).

$$T_{I} = \hat{\Lambda}^{J}{}_{I}T_{J}$$
$$\hat{\Lambda}^{4}{}_{7} = \Lambda \Lambda$$
$$\phi \rightarrow \Lambda_{\text{scalar}}\phi, \quad \Lambda_{\text{scalar}} = 1$$
$$\xi_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\frac{1}{2}\beta}\xi_{\beta}, \quad \chi^{\dagger} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1}{2}}\chi^{\dagger}$$
$$\exists ? \quad \Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma \Lambda^{\beta}{}_{\frac{1}{2}}\delta \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \varepsilon_{\gamma\delta}$$
$$\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon_{21} = + 1$$
$$\Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma \Lambda^{\beta}{}_{\frac{1}{2}}\delta \varepsilon^{\gamma\delta} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$V_{\mu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}V^{\nu}$$
$$\xi^{\alpha} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\xi_{\beta}$$
$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\xi^{\alpha}\chi^{\beta} = \xi^{\alpha}\chi_{\alpha}$$
$$\chi_{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\chi^{\beta}$$